# Geochronologic and stratigraphic constraints on the Mesoproterozoic and Neoproterozoic Pahrump Group, Death Valley, California: A record of the assembly, stability, and breakup of Rodinia

## Robert C. Mahon<sup>1†</sup>, Carol M. Dehler<sup>2</sup>, Paul K. Link<sup>1</sup>, Karl E. Karlstrom<sup>3</sup>, and George E. Gehrels<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Idaho State University Department of Geosciences, 921 S. 8th Ave., Stop 8072, Pocatello, Idaho 83209-8072, USA <sup>2</sup>Utah State University Department of Geology, 4505 Old Main Hill, Logan, Utah 84322-4505, USA <sup>3</sup>University of New Mexico Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, MSCO3-2040, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131, USA <sup>4</sup>University of Arizona Department of Geosciences, 1040 E. 4th St., Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA

### ABSTRACT

The Pahrump Group in the Death Valley region of eastern California records a rich history of Mesoproterozoic to Neoproterozoic tectonic, climatic, and biotic events. These include the formation, stability, and onset of rifting of the Rodinia supercontinent, two potentially low-latitude glaciations correlative with global "snowball Earth" glacial intervals, and the onset of complex microbiota (e.g., testate amoebae). Poor direct age control, however, has significantly hindered the progress of understanding of these important stratigraphic units. New LA-ICPMS (laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry) detrital zircon data from clastic units directly overlying a major unconformity within the Mesoproterozoic Crystal Spring Formation provide a maximum depositional age of  $787 \pm$ 11 Ma for the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation. This unconformity, representing a duration of ≥300 Ma, is now recognized in sedimentary successions across southwestern Laurentia. These new age data, in addition to the distinct stratigraphic style above and below the unconformity, result in the proposed formal stratigraphic revision to elevate the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation to the Neoproterozoic Horse Thief Springs Formation and separate it from the remainder of the underlying Mesoproterozoic Crystal Spring Formation (ca. 1100 Ma). New age relations and revised stratigraphic nomenclature significantly

clarify stratigraphic and tectonic correlations and imply ca. 1250–1070 Ma assembly, 1070–780 Ma stability, and 780–600 Ma breakup of the supercontinent Rodinia along the southwestern Laurentian margin.

## INTRODUCTION

The Mesoproterozoic-Neoproterozoic Pahrump Group of the Death Valley area, California (Figs. 1 and 2), is an important geologic record for understanding Rodinia reconstructions, extreme global climate change, and biotic evolution, hence its geology is well known internationally. These well preserved, easily accessible, and regionally exposed strata are of particular interest because they record: extension attributed to both the culmination and rifting of the supercontinent Rodinia (Wright et al., 1974; Labotka et al., 1980; Miller, 1987; Timmons et al., 2001, 2005; Petterson, 2009; Petterson et al., 2011a); the evolution of the western Laurentian margin (Prave, 1999; Fedo and Cooper, 2001); two low-latitude glaciations and their aftermath (Troxel, 1982; Miller, 1985; Prave, 1999; Abolins et al., 2000; Corsetti and Kaufman, 2003); and windows into prokaryotic and eukaryotic evolution prior to the Cambrian explosion (Cloud et al., 1969; Licari, 1978; Horodyski and Mankiewicz, 1990).

Despite extensive research on Pahrump Group stratigraphy (e.g., Hewett, 1940, 1956; Wright, 1949; Wright and Troxel, 1956, 1966; Troxel, 1967; Gutstadt, 1968, 1969; Cloud et al., 1969; Maud, 1979, 1983; Roberts, 1982; Wright and Prave, 1993; Prave, 1999; Petterson et al., 2011a, 2011b), fundamental disagreements about formal stratigraphic boundaries, inferred age constraints, and correlations across the outcrop area of the Pahrump Group remain unresolved (e.g., Mbuyi and Prave, 1993; Prave, 1999; Corsetti et al., 2007; Mrofka and Kennedy, 2011; Petterson et al., 2011a, 2011b). These disagreements significantly hinder regional correlations and paleogeographic reconstructions involving this key region.

This paper presents U-Pb maximum depositional ages from detrital zircon grains, which constrain the magnitude of a major unconformity within the Pahrump Group. We also present stratigraphic revisions, which significantly clarify the correlations both within the Pahrump Group strata and also to stratigraphic successions across, and beyond, the southwestern Laurentian margin. Thus, these new geochronologic and stratigraphic results advance the essential time-stratigraphic framework for understanding the Laurentian and global Mesoproterozoic and Neoproterozoic Earth system.

## GEOLOGIC BACKGROUND

### **Previous Geochronologic Constraints**

Chronologic constraints in the Pahrump Group are sparse, and most ages have been determined using indirect lithostratigraphic and chemostratigraphic correlations to similar units and geologic events, both regionally and globally (e.g., Prave, 1999; Dehler et al., 2001, 2011; Timmons et al., 2005; Corsetti et al., 2007; Dehler, 2008). However, without direct geochronologic constraints, these correlations remain tenuous. Currently the only direct radiometric constraints on the Pahrump Group are two U-Pb baddeleyite ages of  $1069 \pm 3$  Ma and  $1087 \pm 3$  Ma from diabase sills in the lower and middle Crystal Spring Formation (Heaman and Grotzinger, 1992; see Fig. 2). Wright (1968) and Hammond (1983, 1986) suggested intrusion of these sills to have occurred while sediments of the Crystal Spring Formation were wet and unconsolidated, thus

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Current address: University of Wyoming Department of Geology and Geophysics, Department 3006, 1000 University Ave., Laramie, Wyoming 82071, USA; e-mail: mahorobe@isu.edu; rmahon1@uwyo.edu.

*GSA Bulletin*; May/June 2014; v. 126; no. 5/6; p. 652–664; doi: 10.1130/B30956.1; 6 figures; 4 tables; Data Repository item 2014108; published online 27 February 2014.



Figure 1. (A) Map of the southwestern United States showing location and extent of Neoproterozoic sedimentary successions (modified after Stewart et al., 2001; Lund, 2008). (B) Distribution of Proterozoic sedimentary rocks in the southern Death Valley region (CA— California; NV—Nevada). Geology modified from Workman et al. (2002) and Petterson (2009). Localities sampled and discussed in text are indicated by numbers: 1—southern Ibex Hills/Saratoga Spring (reference locality of the Horse Thief Springs Formation; sample locality for samples K03DV10, K03DV11, 12RMSS5); 2—Beck Canyon in the Kingston Range (type locality of the Horse Thief Springs Formation; sample locality for sample 4CD11).

these ages approximate a depositional age. Only two other age constraints from the Death Valley Proterozoic section are reported: the presence of the trace fossil *Treptichnus pedum* approximately marking the Neoproterozoic-Cambrian boundary in the Wood Canyon Formation (Corsetti and Hagadorn, 2000), ~3 km upsection from the top of the Pahrump Group, and a single detrital zircon grain from the Johnnie Formation which yielded a U-Pb CA-TIMS (chemical abrasion-thermal ionization mass spectrometry) age of 640.33  $\pm$  0.09 Ma (Verdel et al., 2011). This single grain, however, does not represent a reproducible population from which age constraints can be reliably determined (see Dickinson and Gehrels, 2009) and would represent the maximum depositional age, at best.

# Historical Usage of Stratigraphic Nomenclature

Hewett (1940) originally defined the "Pahrump series" as consisting of three formations: a lower siliciclastic-carbonate unit, the "Crystal Spring formation"; a middle carbonate unit, the "Beck Spring dolomite"; and an upper siliciclas-

tic unit, the "Kingston Peak formation." The initial definition of stratigraphy by Hewett (1940) was broad-brush in nature, and only gave reference to type localities, with no type sections described. The first detailed stratigraphic studies within the Pahrump series were conducted on the Crystal Spring Formation (Wright, 1949, 1952), and on representative sections of the three formations within the Pahrump series (Hewett, 1956). Applications of member-scale subdivisions for the Pahrump Group are common in historical literature and are generally presented informally with little deference given to precedence or consistency. Wright (1949, 1952) first proposed informal subdivisions for the Crystal Spring Formation. Modifications of these subdivisions were used by several subsequent workers, some of whom were students of Wright (e.g., Roberts, 1974a, 1974b, 1976, 1982; Maud, 1979, 1983). Historical nomenclature for the Pahrump Group, with emphasis on the Crystal Spring Formation member subdivisions and significant formation- or group-level revisions, is presented in Table 1.

### **Crystal Spring Formation**

The Crystal Spring Formation, of particular interest in this study, was previously studied in stratigraphic detail, including the assignment of type sections and informal member divisions (Wright, 1949, 1952; see Table 1). Informal member names used for the lower and middle parts of the Crystal Spring Formation, in ascending stratigraphic order, include: the arkosic sandstone, feldspathic sandstone, mudstone, dolomite, algal dolomite-siltstone, and chert members (sensu Wright, 1968; Roberts, 1974a, 1974b, 1976, 1982), all intruded by diabase sills. The "upper units" (sensu Wright, 1968; Roberts, 1974a, 1974b, 1976, 1982), also referred to as the upper member by contemporary workers, consists of five 10-100-m-scale siliciclastic-carbonate cycles overlain by a transitional interval of mixed carbonate-siliciclastic meter-scale cycles (Maud, 1979, 1983). Stratigraphic dissimilarities between the lower and middle parts of the Crystal Spring Formation compared with the upper units were implied by early work (Table 1; Roberts, 1974a, 1974b, 1976, 1982; Maud, 1979, 1983), and an unconformity at the base of the upper units of the Crystal Spring Formation was suggested by both Maud (1979, 1983) and Mbuyi and Prave (1993). For the purpose of consistency with more recent publications (e.g., Heaman and Grotzinger, 1992; Prave, 1999), we generally refer to the arkosic sandstone, feldspathic sandstone, and mudstone units as the "lower member"; the dolomite, algal dolomite-siltstone, and chert members as the "middle member"; and the upper units as the "upper member" (see Table 1).

# Lower and Middle Members of the Crystal Spring Formation

The lower member of the Crystal Spring Formation (≤660 m thick) unconformably overlies crystalline basement and comprises a basal quartzite-granite conglomerate (arkose member of Wright [1952, 1968]), which fines upward into sandstone and ultimately mudstone. These deposits are interpreted to represent an earlier south-flowing fluvial system that later shifted to an intertidal-deltaic system coming from a southern source (Roberts, 1974a, 1974b, 1976, 1982).

The middle member of the Crystal Spring Formation (≤397 m thick) comprises thick microbially laminated limestone and dolomitic limestone overlain by clastic strata, with the limestone units dominating in the north and the siliciclastic strata prominent in the south. These strata record shallow-water carbonate sedimentation prograding from the northern margin of the basin as clastic material continued to be fed into the basin from southerly sources (Roberts, 1974a, 1974b, 1976, 1982).

# Upper Member of the Crystal Spring Formation

An unconformity marks the boundary between the middle and upper Crystal Spring Formation. This unconformity was originally recognized by Maud (1979, 1983) in the Saddle Peak Hills where the upper member rests in angular discordance on the middle member. Maud (1983) also noted that the conglomerate/breccia at the base of the upper member has clasts derived almost entirely from the "chert member" of the underlying middle Crystal Spring Formation, implying that the middle member had to have been uplifted and reworked to generate the overlying deposit. Mbuyi and Prave (1993) further described this unconformity on a regional scale and recognized that conglomerates above the unconformity occasionally contain diabase clasts (e.g southern Ibex Hills/Saratoga Spring section) indicating significant unroofing and missing time, although no inference was made as to the actual duration represented by this surface. The unconformity is well exposed in the southern Ibex Hills (near Saratoga Spring) and the northern Saddle Peak Hills, where the basal clastic unit is overlain by the first major carbonate unit of the upper member, making together the "A unit" of Maud (1979, Table 1, 1983).

Overlying the unconformity are six regionally traceable cyclic siliciclastic-carbonate intervals, informally described as "A through F units" by Maud (1979, 1983). The basal depos-



Figure 2. Generalized stratigraphy of the Pahrump Group in the Death Valley region. Sample numbers indicate the stratigraphic position of samples discussed in this study. Asterisks indicate stratigraphic nomenclature to be revised in this publication. New age constraint and stratigraphic revisions to be discussed are labeled in bold. Radiometric age constraints for the lower and middle Crystal Spring Formation from Heaman and Grotzinger (1992). Basement age constraints from Lanphere et al. (1964), Labotka et al. (1980), Barth et al. (2001, 2009), and Iriondo et al. (2004).

its (lower part of A unit) comprise several meterscale cycles of clast-supported conglomerate and/or breccia that fine upward into coarse-sand to granule sandstone (Figs. 3A–3D). The clast composition is almost entirely chert and hornfelsic siltstone derived from the underlying "chert member" (*sensu* Roberts, 1974a, 1974b, 1982) of the middle member of the Crystal Spring Formation (Maud, 1979, 1983), except where the basal unit rests directly on the diabase sill and has dominantly clasts of diabase (A.R. Prave, 2003, personal commun.).

Each of the lower five cyclic intervals (A–E units, out of 6 total intervals) contains a lower

TABLE 1. HISTORICAL	USAGE OF STRATIGR	APHIC NOMENCLATURE

Hewe	ett, 1940; 1956	Wright, 1952		Kupfer, 1960	Jen	nings et al., 1962	Roberts, 1974a; 1974b	Maud, 1979; 1983	Grotzinger, 1992	This Stuc	ly
											KP4
		conglomeratic		upper Kingston			Kings	ston		Kingston	KP3
	Peak formation	quartzite mor.		Peak formation			Pea Forma	ak ation		Formation	KP2
es		green quartzite mbr.	d	lower Kingston Peak formation	di						KP1
Pahrump seri	Be Spi dolc	eck ring mite	ahrump grou	Beck Spring dolomite	ahrump Grou		Beck S Dolor	pring mite		Beck Sp Dolom	ring hite
		upper sedimentary units massive					upper units	$\left. \begin{array}{c} {}^{F}_{E} \\ {}^{D}_{C} \\ {}^{G}_{A} \end{array} \right\rangle$ units	upper mbr.	Horse Thief Springs Fm.*	D C B A
	Crystal	Crystal Spring formation formation feldspathic quartzite mbr. feldspathic quartzite mbr.		Crystal Spring	chert mbr. algal mbr. dolomite mbr.	ert mbr. chert mbr. gal mbr. mite mbr.	middle mbr.	Crystal Spring Formation	middle mbr.		
	formation			Formation	mudstone mbr. felds. ss. mbr. arkose mbr.	member	lower mbr.		lower mbr.		
*No	omenclature for	rmally defined in this	study	/ stiens felde felden	othiou	aa aandatana					

siliciclastic component, ranging in grain size from silt to gravel, and an upper carbonate component, with each cycle exhibiting distinctive characteristics. A, B, and E units are on the order of tens of meters thick, depending on the location in the basin. C, D, and F units range in thickness from less than 10 m in the westsouthwest to ~160 m in the east-northeast (this study; see Figs. DR1, DR2 in the GSA Data Repository<sup>1</sup>). The D unit and basal E unit are not present in the southern Saratoga Spring area and instead are represented by an intraformational unconformity that places the upper E unit on the C unit (Maud, 1979, 1983).

The sandstone units of the lower five cycles are typically quartzose and the conglomerate facies are typically dominated by chert clasts from the underlying middle member of the Crystal Spring Formation (this study; see Figs. 3C, 3D, 3F). An exception is the D unit, where there is a prominent arkosic wedge indicating input and likely uplift from a granitic source to the southwest. The siliciclastic units generally thicken to the east and south whereas grain size in these units coarsens to the south-southwest and becomes finer northward, indicating an upland to the south-southwest and maximum subsidence rate in the east (Maud, 1979, 1983).

The carbonate units overlying the clastic units in the lower five cyclic intervals generally thicken to the southwest, are individually unique in sedimentary character, and are commonly stromatolitic. These dolomite intervals include all or some of the following: cryptalgal laminations, allochemical grainstone, oolites, oncolites, cherty beds, and stromatolites including *Conophyton* and *Baicalia* (Maud, 1979, 1983; S.M. Awramik, 2012, personal commun.).

The capping F unit is an interval of locally developed, thinner, stacked meter-scale cycles

consisting of siliciclastic units overlain by dolomitic units. Siliciclastic units are generally thinner and coarser grained in the southwestern sections and exhibit internal channel scour and fill, while eastern sections are thicker and finer grained (generally bedded to laminated, silt to fine sand). Dolomitic units are thin (0.1-2 m) and commonly contain sand- and granule-sized siliciclastic grains, rip-up clasts, and cryptalgal or stromatolitic lamination. The tops of the dolomitic units also commonly exhibit paleokarst and grikes (solution fissures) (Maud, 1979, 1983). The number of siliciclasticcarbonate cycles dramatically increases from the east (where only a few are present in the Kingston Range) to the west (where several tens of cycles are present). These meter-scale carbonate-siliciclastic cycles are locally only traceable over short distances (tens to hundreds of meters) and transition either gradationally (in western sections) or abruptly but conformably (in eastern sections) into the overlying Beck

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>GSA Data Repository item 2014108, figure DR1– DR6 and table DR1–DR3, is available at http://www .geosociety.org/pubs/ft201X.htm or by request to editing@geosociety.org.

Figure 3. Outcrop photographs. (A) Unconformity at the base of the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation (revised herein to Horse Thief Springs Formation) from the southern Ibex Hills. White line shows location of unconformity, with middle member of the Crystal Spring Formation hornfelsic siltstone to the lower right, upper member of the Crystal Spring conglomerate above (lines on staff are 10 cm apart). (B) Detail of unconformity surface in the southern Ibex Hills, showing metamorphosed siltstone below and clasts of siltstone above the unconformity (stratigraphic facing to the upper left). (C) Conglomeratic sandstone near the base of the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation (Horse Thief Springs Formation) from the Saddle Peak Hills; clasts comprise metamorphosed siltstone and chert derived from the middle Crystal Spring Formation (stratigraphic facing to the upper right). (D) Siltstone and fine-grained sandstone with interbedded chert and cherty siltstone-pebble conglomerate near the base of the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation (Horse Thief Springs Formation) in the southern Ibex Hills. (E) Enterolithic folding (softsediment deformation resulting from dissolution of evaporites) in siltstone and fine sandstone of the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation, A unit, from Beck Canyon in the Kingston



Range (field notebook for scale is 19 cm by 12 cm; stratigraphic facing to the right). (F) Trough cross-bedding in the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation (Horse Thief Springs Formation), A unit, from Beck Canyon in the Kingston Range (GPS unit for scale is 11cm in length).

Spring Dolomite. The transitional nature of this contact is particularly well presented in sections near Saratoga Spring in the southern Ibex Hills, where the exact location of the base of the Beck Spring Dolomite is unclear.

Maud (1979, 1983) proposed four depositional models to explain the collective stratigraphic and sedimentologic data from this unit: (1) intertidal model; (2) barrier beach model; (3) tectonic model; and (4) open clastic shoreline model. The lattermost of these models was preferred because the majority of sandstone units were interpreted as beach sands and the carbonates were considered subtidal due to lack of exposure indicators as well as their lateral continuity.

## METHODS

### **Detrital Zircon Analysis**

## Sampling

Samples from the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation were collected for detrital zircon analysis to test the hypothesis that the upper member is indeed a different and significantly younger unit than the lower and middle members of the Crystal Spring Formation. Samples were collected from two separate localities: the first (samples K03DV10, K03DV11) near the parking area at the end of Saratoga Spring road and the second (sample 12RMSS5) from the west-trending ridge ~1 km north of the first sample locality (see Table 2, Fig. 1). A single detrital zircon age is reported from the top of the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation (sample 4CD11) in Beck Canyon of the Kingston Range (see Fig. 1).

Sample				GPS	GPS	
number	Formation	Member	Locality	Northing*	Easting*	Description
4CD11	Horse Thief Springs Formation	F unit	Crystal Spring, Kingston Range	0592342	3961793	Red sandstone
12RMSS5	Horse Thief Springs Formation	A unit, second conglomerate	Saratoga Spring, southern Ibex Hills	0552634	3949335	White quartzite
K03DV11	Horse Thief Springs Formation	A unit, third conglomerate	Saratoga Spring, southern Ibex Hills	0552487	3948640	White quartzite
K03DV10	Horse Thief Springs Formation	A unit, second conglomerate	Saratoga Spring, southern Ibex Hills	0552484	3948639	Hornfels clasts in white quartzite matrix

TABLE 2. DETRITAL ZIRCON SAMPLE LOCALITIES AND DESCRIPTIONS OF SAMPLES

#### Preparation

Samples were prepared for analysis using standard crushing and mineral separation techniques at Boise State University (Idaho) and University of Arizona mineral separation facilities. Samples were washed and crushed using hammer and steel plate or mechanical chipper, then powdered in a disc mill and sieved to 60 mesh. Sieved sand grains were run across a water table for relative density separation and sample was collected at three intervals. The heaviest washed sample was then oven dried and subjected to initial Frantz magnetic separation, and heavy liquid separation using methyleneiodide (density  $\rho = 3.32$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>) heavy liquid. Heavy mineral separates were then subjected to stepwise Frantz magnetic separation to remove the dense magnetic mineral fraction (e.g., pyrite and hornblende).

Separates were mounted in epoxy with several standards of Sri Lanka (known age 563.5  $\pm$  3.2 Ma). Sample mounts were polished, imaged using reflected light and BSE (backscatter electron microscopy), and cleaned before analyses.

#### Analysis

Detrital zircon samples were analyzed for U and Pb isotopes using the LA-MC-ICPMS (laser ablation-multi-collector-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer) at the Arizona LaserChron facility at University of Arizona in Tucson (using methods outlined in Gehrels et al., 2008; Gehrels, 2012). Analyses were conducted with a Photon Machines Analyte G2 excimer laser. Analyses consist of single 15-second integrations on peaks with the laser off (for backgrounds), 15 one-second integrations with the laser firing, and a 30-second delay to purge the previous sample. The resultant ablation pits are ~15 microns in depth and 30 microns in diameter.

In-run analysis of Sri Lanka standards were conducted after every fifth unknown grain analysis. Data reductions for sample runs are performed in Isoplot program (Ludwig, 2008). Ages are corrected for <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>238</sup>U and <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>207</sup>Pb instrumental fractionation using fractionation factors determined from standards analyzed

throughout the sample run using methods described in Gehrels et al. (2008) and Gehrels (2012) (see Table DR3 for analysis).

### RESULTS

## Stratigraphy

Two sections of the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation were measured and described in detail (see Tables DR1, DR2; Figs. DR1, DR2). Sections were measured at Beck Canyon in the Kingston Range (base 11S\_0597602, 3960080; UTM Zone 11, North American Datum 27) in the vicinity of the original type localities for the Pahrump Group (Hewett, 1940), and at Saratoga Spring in the southern Ibex Hills (base 11S\_0552757, 3949353). These sections were chosen primarily to show the differences in the manifestation of the basal unconformity within the Crystal Spring Formation as well as to facilitate the assignment of type and reference sections to newly revised stratigraphic units as described below.

The stratigraphic sections from Beck Canyon in the Kingston Range and Saratoga Springs in the southern Ibex Hills represent the thickest and thinnest exposed sections of the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation (Maud, 1979, 1983), respectively (see Tables DR1, DR2; Figs. DR1, DR2). The total thickness measured at Beck Canyon in the Kingston Range was 647.9 m along an approximately north-south transect. However, the location included several ~100-m-offset faults and as such, the lower portions (A and B units) of the section were measured ~700 m to the southeast of the remaining section (see Fig. DR3). The total thickness measured at Saratoga Spring is 132.6 m (see Fig. DR1), measured along an eastwest transect. This section was structurally uninterrupted for the entire length of the measured section (see Fig. DR5).

Several stratigraphic trends are observable. Both sections show a dominance of clastic strata at the base fining upward through fine clastic strata and culminating in carbonate strata. The cyclic units of Maud (1979, 1983) are easy to correlate between sections, with the exception of the D and lower E units, which are absent in the Saratoga Springs area and indicate an intraformation unconformity (Figs. DR1 and DR2). Although this study did not focus on the facies analysis and paleoenvironmental interpretations of the upper Crystal Spring Formation, stratigraphic and sedimentologic observations made during this study are generally consistent with Maud's previous work and interpretations, and will be discussed in a later section.

A major unconformity is recognized between the middle and upper members of the Crystal Spring Formation, and separates two distinct stratigraphic sequences. This unconformity has been previously described (Maud, 1979, 1983; Mbuyi and Prave, 1993; MacDonald et al., 2013); however, little discussion was presented of the duration or changes in stratigraphic style across this unconformity. Here we describe this unconformity in greater detail and, in the following section, provide constraints on the magnitude of time represented.

Below the unconformity, sedimentary rocks of the middle member of the Crystal Spring Formation consist of thick (tens of meters) beds of predominantly coarsely crystalline stromatolitic dolomite, siltstone (commonly metamorphosed to hornfels), and silicified siltstone (described as the "cherty submember" by Maud [1979, 1983]). These sedimentary units are intruded by abundant, thick (several to tens of meters) diabase sills, and are commonly metamorphosed. Talc is commonly present where diabase sills intrude dolomitic rocks.

The unconformity is manifested by a slight angular discordance, from 0° to 20° (Mbuyi and Prave, 1993), and is overlain by coarsegrained siliciclastic units (coarse sandstone to cobble conglomerate). In the southwestern sections, the basal units commonly weather to dark brown to black, and contain angular cobbles of silicified or hornfelsic siltstone. Where the unconformity cuts diabase (observed by the authors in the southern Ibex Hills), diabase clasts are occasionally found in the overlying conglomeratic units. Siliciclastic rocks above the unconformity, particularly in southwestern sections, preserve 0.5-m-scale channel scours, as well as mudcracks and raindrop impressions in fine-grained siltstone-mudstone interbeds. In eastern sections (Kingston Range), the unconformity is more subtle and is overlain by coarse sandstone to pebble conglomerate. Clasts more commonly comprise silicified siltstone in a white to gray coarse sand matrix. Nowhere is diabase observed to intrude rocks above the unconformity.

Stratigraphic style above the unconformity is markedly different than below. A distinctive depositional cyclicity is manifested by siliciclastic rock units overlain by carbonate rock units, with cycles informally defined by Maud (1979, 1983) as A-F units. Cyclicity of this nature is notably absent below the unconformity. Strata above the unconformity are not contact metamorphosed as below and lack diabase sills, and stromatolite assemblages in upper member dolomites show significant age difference relative to lower- and middle-member assemblages (S.M. Awramik, 2012, personal commun.).

## Geochronology

Of a total of 1098 detrital zircon grains analyzed from eight samples throughout the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation using random sampling, a sample distribution (n = 6)of Neoproterozoic detrital zircon grains was found in four samples (see Fig. 4 and Tables 2 and 3 for samples collected containing Neoproterozoic grains). The remaining 1092 older grains will not be discussed further in this paper; these grains provide provenance information when combined with detrital zircon data from





Geological	Society	of America	Bulletin,	May/June	2014

05/204Pb U/Th <sup>207</sup> Pb*/235U* ± (%) 206Pb*/239	U/Th <sup>207</sup> Pb*/ <sup>235</sup> U* ± (%) <sup>206</sup> Pb*/ <sup>236</sup> U	<sup>207</sup> Pb*/ <sup>235</sup> U* ± (%) <sup>206</sup> Pb*/ <sup>238</sup> U	± (%) <sup>206</sup> Pb*/ <sup>238</sup> L	206Pb*/238U	l –	(%) ∓	Error <sup>20</sup>	<sup>s</sup> Pb*/ <sup>238</sup> U age	± (Ma)	<sup>207</sup> Pb*/ <sup>235</sup> U age	± (Ma)	<sup>206</sup> Pb*/ <sup>207</sup> Pb age	± (Ma)	Bee
	6338	0.8	1.07361	3.0	0.12513	0.9	0.3	760.0	6.5	740.5	15.5	681.9	60.1	2
4	ł6,404	1.6	1.22891	1.8	0.13251	1.5	0.8	802.2	11.2	813.8	10.0	845.9	20.8	õ
									Error	<sup>206</sup> Pb*/ <sup>238</sup> U		<sup>207</sup> Pb*/ <sup>235</sup> U		206Pb
206	0/204Pb	U/Th	<sup>206</sup> Pb*/ <sup>207</sup> Pb*	(%) ∓	<sup>207</sup> Pb*/ <sup>235</sup> U*	(%) ∓	<sup>206</sup> Pb*/ <sup>238</sup> U	(%) ∓	corr.	age	± (Ma)	age	± (Ma)	.0
	9092	6.1	13.8545	0.5	1.2913	2.6	0.1298	2.6	0.98	786.5	19.0	841.9	14.9	6
-	0,594	1.4	14.6941	7.1	1.2164	7.2	0.1296	1.5	0.21	785.8	11.2	808.1	40.2	œ
-	7,755	0.8	15.0539	3.6	1.1880	4.2	0.1297	2.3	0.54	786.2	16.9	795.0	23.3	œ
-	5,753	<del>.</del> .	15.4498	8.6	1.1394	8.7	0.1277	1.4	0.16	774.5	10.3	772.2	47.0	

Conc (%) 79.4 90.3 95.9

(Ma) 11.2 6.9

Best age

Ma)

786

Conc (%)

± (Ma)

∕a)

111.5 94.8

6.5 11.2

30.0 \* /207 all errors

Concordia:

K03DV10-6 KO30V11-30 12RMSS5-58 4-CD11-30

K03DV10-21 K03DV11-36

Analysis

LA-ICP-MS (laser

text footnote 1] for complete detrital zircon

Note: See Table DR3 [see

eported ±1σ

ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry) analysis notes. Corr

the remainder of the Proterozoic sedimentary rocks of the region, and will be the topic of a future manuscript. Two "young" grains were found in each of two samples (four grains total) overlying the unconformity between the middle and upper Crystal Spring Formation at Saratoga Spring (samples K03DV10 and K03DV11; see Table 3 for age data from each sample). One "young" grain each was found in samples overlying the aforementioned unconformity at Saratoga Spring (sample 12RMSS5) and from the F unit of the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation at Crystal Spring in the Kingston Range (sample 4CD11). These young grains represent a significant population in that they are consistently present in multiple sample separates collected by multiple investigators, processed in different separation labs, and analyzed on multiple iterations of the same instrument over the course of nearly a decade. We therefore consider it implausible that these grains should represent contamination from other samples. Similarly, we reject the possibility that these grains yield young ages as a result of lead loss from older ca. 1000-1200 Ma grains, abundant in these samples, as this would be unlikely to result in such a narrow range of ages, given that no other grains analyzed were younger than 900 Ma. This would also result in reverse discordance in U-Pb and Pb-Pb ages, a result not observed with the grains presented herein (see Fig. 5).

A pooled weighted mean of ages from these grains (see Fig. 5) yields ages of  $775 \pm 18$  Ma, with a mean square of weighted deviates value (MSWD) = 2.6, or of 787 ± 11 Ma, MSWD = 0.83, if one outlying data point is excluded (see Fig. 5). We take the latter age to be a more conservative estimate of the maximum depositional age. Five of the six grains were obtained from three samples from units directly overlying the unconformity at the base of the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation (see Fig. 4); thus, this group of young grains lowers the maximum depositional age constraint for the basal part of the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation to younger than 787 Ma. This age is some 300 m.y. younger than the ages reported from diabase sills in the lower and middle members of the Crystal Spring Formation (Heaman and Grotzinger, 1992) and constrains the duration of the unconformity between the middle and upper members of the Crystal Spring Formation to at least 300 m.y.

### STRATIGRAPHIC REVISIONS

## **Horse Thief Springs Formation**

Detailed stratigraphic analysis of sections measured and described by Maud (1979, 1983) and those described by the authors, coupled



Figure 5. (A) Detrital zircon concordia plot showing ages of detrital zircon grains younger than ca. 810 Ma from samples K03DV10, K03DV11, 12RMSS5, and 4CD11 from the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation (conf.—confidence). (B) Plot showing  $^{206}Pb/^{238}U$  ages with calculated errors (with 1 $\sigma$  standard deviation) for six Neoproterozoic detrital zircon grains. A pooled weighted mean age of 775 ± 18 Ma with a mean square of weighted deviates (MSWD) value of 2.6 is calculated from grain age range of 760–802 Ma (n = 6). Presence of the youngest outlier results in a high MSWD value, therefore a separate pooled weighted mean age of 787 ± 11 Ma with MSWD value of 0.83 is calculated (n = 5) discounting the youngest age grain outlier (circled), providing a more conservative estimate for the maximum depositional age. Data shown in boxes are calculated means, errors and MSWD values at 95% confidence intervals for (\*) all six grains and (†) five of six grains, rejecting the youngest outlier (circled). Abbreviations: Wtd—weighted; pt—point; errs—errors; rej—rejected.

#### Mahon et al.

with new detrital zircon age constraints, indicate that the unconformity at the base of the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation represents at least 300 m.y. (Tables DR1, DR2; Figs. DR3, DR6). This unconformity separates two lithologically distinct units initially considered to be related (e.g., Hewett, 1940). These units were suspected by others to be unrelated to one another (Roberts, 1974a, 1974b, 1976, 1982; Maud, 1979, 1983; Mbuyi and Prave, 1993); however, with new detrital zircon age constraints, we are able to firmly quantify the temporal magnitude of this discrepancy and thus confirm the unrelated nature of these stratigraphic units. We propose to formally elevate the informal upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation (see Table 1; Fig. 2) above this unconformity (as described in detail above) to formation status and propose a name of Horse Thief Springs Formation. This name is derived from Horse Thief Springs (historically also referred to as Horse Spring; e.g., Hewett, 1956), located in the southeast corner of the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) (1984) Horse Thief Springs 7.5' quadrangle in the vicinity of the chosen type section described below.

A measured and described stratotype for the Horse Thief Springs Formation (see Tables DR1, DR2) is assigned at 11S\_0597602E, 3960080N, east of Beck Spring in the western Kingston Range located on the USGS Horse Thief Springs 7.5' quadrangle (U.S. Geological Survey, 1984) (Fig. DR3). This section is selected for the type section as it represents the thickest succession of the proposed formation (Maud, 1979, 1983) and is located in the vicinity of the original type localities and sections for each of the formations within the Pahrump Group (Hewett, 1940, 1956). This section, however, is variably exposed (Fig. DR4), and mapping in the area shows the area to exhibit some structural complexity (Calzia et al., 2000). Therefore, the type section measured spans several small drainages to accommodate faults and poor exposure (Fig. DR3).

Additionally, a reference section is assigned (see Table DR2) at 11S\_0552634E, 3949335N, north of Saratoga Spring at the southern end of the Ibex Hills, located on the USGS Old Ibex Pass 7.5' quadrangle (U.S. Geological Survey, 1985). The reference section is easily accessible from Saratoga Spring Road, less than 20 km from California Highway 127 (see Fig. 1). The area surrounding the reference section has been mapped at 1:24,000 scale and the section is known to be structurally uninterrupted at this locality (Figs. DR5, DR6), however this section represents the thinnest preserved sequence in the region (Maud, 1979, 1983). This section, while exceptionally well exposed, is not a complete representation of the Horse Thief Springs Formation, as the D unit is known to be absent in this location (Maud, 1979, 1983; Fig. DR2). It is selected as a reference section in order to provide an easily accessible location where the basal unconformity is exceptionally well preserved and exposed, and is the location from which detrital zircon grains were dated, yielding the age constraint presented above. Correlation between these sections illustrates facies variations from the eastern to western parts of the basin and the lateral traceability of several units within the new formation (see Maud [1979, 1983] for complete description of basin-wide correlations).

Analysis of cycles in the Horse Thief Springs Formation (described below) is consistent with observations presented in Maud (1979, 1983); unit divisions (A unit through F unit) developed therein were retained for the purposes of this study and are included in the stratigraphic summary of the Horse Thief Springs Formation presented in Table 4. We make no attempt to formalize these unit subdivisions as members for the purpose of this study, as they are considered "too thin to map at usual scales" (see North American Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature, 2005, p. 1560, Formal and Informal Units). Detailed stratigraphic descriptions for the type and reference sections are presented in Tables DR1 and DR2, respectively.

The upper interval in the Horse Thief Springs Formation (F unit of Maud, 1979, 1983), which exhibits the meter-scale, siliciclastic-dolomite cycles, gradually transitions into the overlying Beck Spring Dolomite. This contact is clearly definable in eastern exposures, where the overlying Beck Spring Dolomite is more monolithologic. Here we place the contact at the first appearance of gray to blue-gray laminated dolomite. However, this contact is gradational in western sections where the Beck Spring Dolomite includes a significant siliciclastic compo-

#### TABLE 4. STRATIGRAPHIC DEFINITION OF THE HORSE THIEF SPRINGS FORMATION

Unit	Description	Thickness (m)*
F unit		
	Siltstone, sandstone: red, green-gray. Interbedded dolomite: gray, orange. Dolomite interbeds more abundant in western sections. Dolomite microbially laminated. Orange, hematite-rich paleokarst with coarse siliciclastic infill on upper surfaces of some beds. Oncolitic chert locally. Upper contact transitional with Beck Spring Dolomite. Contact placed at first appearance of thick (>3 m) gray dolomite beds in eastern sections. In western sections, contact is more gradual, and placed where gray dolomite interbeds more abundant than varicolored dolomite.	50–80
E unit		
	Dolomite: gray, weathers brown. Stromatolitic, up to 15 cm diameter, 18 cm height. Silty dolomite between stromatolite mounds. Sandstone, some siltstone: green to gray. Bedded to massive. Arkosic. Local cross-beds. Locally present thin dolomite interbed. Oncolites present in chert beds: green.	10–25 3–40
D unit		
	Dolomite: light-medium gray, weathers brown. Microbial laminations. Intraclasts of carbonate common. Bedded chert. Siltstone, sandstone, quartzite, pebble conglomerate: green, tan-brown. Dolomite interbeds increasing upwards. Local trough cross-beds, oscillatory ripples.	0–40 0–100
C unit		
	Dolomite: medium gray, weathers brown. Stromatolitic. Interbedded siltstone: purple. Siltstone increases in proportion upward. Rare chert. Siltstone, sandstone, dolomite: light green to gray-tan, light red-brown. Thin bedded to laminated. Current ripple marks and trough cross-beds common in lower part.	4–15 10–100
B unit		
	Dolomite: gray to tan, weathers dark brown. Tabular to lenticular beds of chert. Microbial laminations, rare stromatolites.	1-10
A	Siltstone and sandstone: light green-gray to brown. Thin bedded to laminated. Oscillatory ripples and flaser beds locally.	5–25
Aunit	Dolomite: orange to tan. Microbial laminations, rare chert. Heavily recrystallized giant oolite (pisolite) in western sections. Sucrosic texture. Interbedded green-grav siltstone.	4–35
	Quartzite, conglomeratic: dark brown in lower part, white in upper part. Siltstone: purple to gray. Clasts predominantly dark green to black hornfels in lower part, and green, purple, red chert and siltstone in upper part. Quartzite exhibiting channel scour, trough and planar cross-bedding. Siltstone contains mudcracks and raindrop impressions in places. Locally ~20–40 cm of erosional scour at base of unit. Lower contact unconformable with underlying Crystal Spring Formation. Crystal Spring Formation below generally green hornfels or diabase, locally dark grey-green chert and silicified siltstone.	5–85
*Thick	mess ranges are generally presented from west (thinnest) to east (thickest).	

nent and exhibits very similar meter-scale depositional cyclicity to the F unit of the Horse Thief Springs Formation. In these localities (namely in the Ibex and Saddle Peak Hills), the base of the Beck Spring Dolomite is difficult to determine. Consistent with the lithostratigraphic definition of the Beck Spring Dolomite (from Hewett, 1940), we place the boundary at the base of the first thick (>2 m), gray to blue-gray laminated dolomite unit. For the purpose of mapping and stratigraphic studies, this boundary is described as "transitional" in western sections, and as such, only approximately locatable.

## **Crystal Spring Formation**

As a result of the proposed elevation of the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation to the Horse Thief Springs Formation, a formal revision of the remainder of the Crystal Spring Formation is here defined. We propose to retain the name Crystal Spring Formation for the lower and middle members of the Crystal Spring Formation. The original lower boundary is retained (nonconformity between Mesoproterozoic metamorphic basement rocks and overlying sedimentary units; see Wright, 1952). A new upper boundary is defined by the unconformity separating the chert and hornfels of the middle Crystal Spring Formation and the basal conglomeratic and sandstone units of the Horse Thief Springs Formation (see Table 1; Fig. 2). This retention of nomenclature is permissible as the majority of the stratigraphic contents of Crystal Spring Formation are retained (~1000 m retained in eastern sections, with ≤640 m removed; ~800 m retained in western sections with ~150 m removed) and the proposed revisions result in significantly "more natural and useful" stratigraphic units [see North American Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature, 2005: Article 19, remark (a)]. No attempt is made herein to formalize any of the informal member subdivisions of the Crystal Spring Formation.

## DISCUSSION

## **Stratigraphic Interpretations**

Newly described sections and general paleoenvironmental interpretations largely agree with those from Maud (1979, 1983). In addition to prevalent mudcracks found in the finer-grained clastic intervals, enterolithic bedding (indicating deposition of evaporites, now removed by dissolution) is common, particularly in the A–C units, indicating deposition occurred in shallow, nearshore environments, perhaps within a restricted basin or sabkha-type environment (A.R. Prave, 2003, personal com-

mun.; this study; Fig. 3E). The presence of symmetrical and asymmetrical ripple marks, trough cross-beds (Fig. 3F), and flaser beds (this study; Maud, 1979, 1983; Figs. DR1 and DR2) indicates that deposition throughout much of the Horse Thief Springs Formation occurred in current-influenced nearshore environments, above fair-weather wave base, such as in a barrier bar or open clastic shelf setting. Exposure features in the dolomitic facies (grikes, hematite-rich upper surfaces) are more prevalent than suggested by Maud (1979, 1983) and indicate that there were more episodes of subaerial exposure during carbonate deposition, especially in the upper part (F unit). Maud suggested several different models to explain the facies trends in the Horse Thief Springs Formation, and although the open clastic shelf model was preferred, it is more likely that a temporal and spatial spectrum of Maud's depositional models may provide the best understanding of the cyclicity and basin evolution. This task is beyond the scope of this paper and requires future detailed study.

The fining-upward and "cleaning"-upward trend that is apparent in the two sections measured, in combination with known sedimentology and cyclicity within the Horse Thief Springs Formation, suggests either a deepeningupward succession (from clastic to carbonate) and/or that the change in lithology may be controlled by the differential availability of coarser siliciclastic material (abundant in lower parts of sections) and coarser chemiclastic material (more dominant upsection). Similar trends are seen on the same scale (100 m scale) in the lithostratigraphic sequences of the correlative Chuar Group of Grand Canyon (see below). For example, the Kwagunt Formation fines upward and is overlain by shale interbedded with chemiclastic and stromatolitic dolomite facies associated with karst features (Dehler et al., 2001, 2005). The upper part of the correlative Uinta Mountain Group in Utah (see below) also shows a fining-upward succession from sandstone to shale (on a similar scale), yet no carbonate is present. Dehler et al. (2010) suggested that there was a regional or greater transgression during the deposition of the Uinta Mountain Group and Chuar Group, and the Horse Thief Springs Formation may be reflecting the same large-scale event. Dehler et al. (2005) called upon climatecontrolled sea-level change to be responsible for cyclic lithologic changes (i.e., clastic to carbonate deposition) in the Chuar Group; this may also be the case for cyclicity in the Horse Thief Springs Formation.

Regardless of the specific depositional setting and stratigraphic patterns in the Horse Thief Springs Formation, it likely represents a marginal marine basin with a dominant source ter-

rain to the south-southwest (Maud, 1979, 1983). Coarse clastic facies belts and paleoflow data were interpreted as defining a NW-SE-striking shoreline, with fining northward showing deepening to the north-northeast (Maud, 1979, 1983). However, these interpretations were made prior to tectonic reconstructions of the Death Valley region (e.g., Levy and Christie-Blick, 1991; Topping, 1993) and therefore the effects of Mesozoic contraction and Cenozoic extension were not considered. While lateral facies patterns and paleoflow data are seemingly consistent, regardless of location or transect orientation as reported by Maud (1979, 1983), shoreline trends, basin geometry, and paleocurrent results should be considered with caution. Further work is needed to fully characterize the facies trends of the Horse Thief Springs Formation in the context of more recent models for Mesozoic-Paleozoic tectonic reconstructions in the region.

#### **Paleogeographic and Tectonic Implications**

Detrital zircon maximum depositional age constraints from the newly named Horse Thief Springs Formation show that a  $\geq$ 300 m.y. gap is represented by the basal unconformity, allowing more precise correlation with Proterozoic successions regionally (see Fig. 6). In particular, strikingly similar age relations and tectonostratigraphic packages are present in the Grand Canyon Supergroup which comprises both a ca. 1255-1100 Ma Mesoproterozoic (Stenian-Ectasian) sedimentary succession capped by 1100 Ma Cardenas Basalt (Elston, 1989; Timmons et al., 2005), and an unconformably overlying Neoproterozoic (Cryogenian) sedimentary succession of the 770-742 Ma Chuar Group (Karlstrom et al., 2000; Dehler et al., 2001, 2012). The Crystal Spring Formation and the Unkar Group indicate a regional tectonostratigraphic unit that formed in active rift basins responding to the Grenville orogeny during the assembly of Rodinia (Timmons et al., 2001, 2005). The Horse Thief Springs Formation, Beck Spring Dolomite, and likely "KP1" (sensu Prave, 1999; MacDonald et al., 2013) correlate with the Chuar Group, defining a regional tectonostratigraphic unit that formed in syn-extensional intracratonic basins during the early stages of the breakup of Rodinia (Timmons et al., 2001; Dehler et al., 2001, 2005).

This unconformity, therefore, is now known to be represented in stratigraphic successions across much of the western Laurentian margin. It is interpreted to be a major, regional feature associated with non-deposition and erosion during the period between amalgamation and rifting of the Rodinia supercontinent (e.g., Karl-



Figure 6. Refined correlation of Mesoproterozoic and Neoproterozoic sedimentary successions in southwestern Laurentia (modified after Link et al., 1993; Timmons et al., 2005; age constraints from Elston, 1989; Heaman and Grotzinger, 1992; Williams et al., 2003; Fanning and Link, 2004; Barth et al., 2009). Bold white asterisk indicates units containing 800–760 Ma detrital zircon grains (Timmons et al., 2005; Dehler et al., 2010, 2012; Spencer et al., 2012). Cyn.—Canyon; LS.—Limestone; Red Crk. Qtzt.—Red Creek Quartzite; Mbr—Member; Dol.—Dolomite; Spr.—Springs.

strom et al., 2000; Li et al., 2008). Detrital zircon grains of similar ages to the ones presented in this paper are known to be present in stratigraphic sequences immediately overlying this unconformity in the Grand Canyon and northern Utah regions marking a regional basin-forming episode beginning at ca. 780 Ma.

The revised Pahrump Group time-stratigraphic framework strengthens the use of vaseshaped microfossils (found in the Beck Spring Formation; Horodyski, 1993) as a reliable index fossil for ca. 780-740 Ma successions (Knoll, 2000; Porter and Knoll, 2000; Dehler et al., 2007), as well as brackets the timing of early advancements in food-web complexity of the global ecosystem (Karlstrom et al., 2000; Porter and Knoll, 2000; Nagy et al., 2009). Another result is the improved maximum age limit on the overlying diamictite units of the Kingston Peak Formation, interpreted to indicate glaciation (Miller, 1985), and possibly snowball Earth conditions (Hoffman et al., 1998) from ca. 720 to 635 Ma (Hoffman and Li, 2009; MacDonald et al., 2013).

The new age constraint on the Pahrump Group presented herein also allows for a refined

mid-Neoproterozoic regional piercing point for western Laurentia that can be used to improve continental reconstructions of Rodinia and the geometry of the nascent Cordilleran passive margin. The Neoproterozoic grains discussed in this paper compose part of a larger set of detrital zircons sampled from the entirety of the Pahrump Group. Similar-age Neoproterozoic detrital grains have been found in several correlative stratigraphic units across the western North American margin (e.g., Dehler et al., 2010, 2012; Spencer et al., 2012) and no clearly defined magmatic source exists in western North America. Their widespread distribution in very small quantities is provocative, as it hints at the possibility that these grains may be derived from non-North American sources. This age population could therefore be present in proposed coeval Neoproterozoic basins on other continents and should be pursued. The implications of the provenance of these and other grain populations toward regional tectonics, paleocontinental reconstructions, and the development of the Proterozoic western Laurentian margin will be discussed in a future paper.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Six young detrital zircon grains found in a conglomerate and quartzite interval immediately overlying the unconformity between the lower-middle members of the Crystal Spring Formation and the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation constrain the age of the upper Crystal Spring Formation to younger than 787 Ma. This new age constraint indicates that ≥300 m.y. is represented by an unconformity within the previously defined boundaries of the Crystal Spring Formation. The recognition of the magnitude of time represented by this unconformity, as well as the analysis of the stratigraphic dissimilarities between the strata above and below this unconformity, result in the elevation of the upper member of the Crystal Spring Formation to the Horse Thief Springs Formation and the revision of boundaries for the remainder of the Crystal Spring Formation. This geochronologic constraint and stratigraphic revision significantly clarify the regional correlations and the tectonostratigraphic history for Pahrump Group strata.

This regionally correlative unconformity, now recognized in strata across southwestern Laurentia, distinguishes three significant intervals in the development of the supercontinent Rodinia along the western Laurentian margin. The lower and middle Crystal Spring Formation represent inboard deposition during Mesoproterozoic (ca. 1250-1070 Ma) assembly of Rodinia. The unconformity at the boundary between the Crystal Spring Formation and the Horse Thief Springs Formation, similar to the Unkar Group-Chuar Group unconformity in Grand Canyon, represents relative tectonic quiescence along the western Laurentian margin during ca. 1070-780 Ma; and the overlying stratigraphic succession beginning with the Horse Thief Springs Formation through the Beck Spring Dolomite, Kingston Peak Formation, and the overlying Noonday Dolomite and Johnnie Formation represents the initiation of rifting through final separation along the southwestern Laurentian margin. These new tectonic-stratigraphic relationships from the Pahrump Group also provide important constraints on future studies attempting to determine the likely conjugate continental margin to the southwestern Laurentian margin during and before this time.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study benefited greatly from discussions with Tony Prave, Laura Crossey, Matt Heizler, Kate Fletcher, Mike Timmons, David Pierce, Stanley Awramik, Ryan Petterson, Charlie Verdel, Francis MacDonald, Whitey Hagadorn, and David Mrofka; as well as constructive manuscript reviews from Robert Rainbird, Fernando Corfu, Aaron Cavosie, and two anonymous reviewers. Field work and analytical expenses were funded by National Science Foundation (NSF) grants EAR 0208463 and 0643364 (to Karl Karlstrom and Laura Crossey), a grant from the USGS National Cooperative Mapping Program EdMap #G11AC20185 (to Paul Link and Robert Mahon), NSF grant EAR 0819759, as well as student funding from the Precambrian Research Center, Geological Society of America, Tobacco Root Geological Society, Colorado Scientific Society, Rocky Mountain Association of Geologists, and the Idaho State University Graduate Research Committee (to Robert Mahon). Sample analyses were conducted at Arizona LaserChron Center and we acknowledge NSF grant EAR 1032156 for support of the Arizona LaserChron Center. Research and sample collection was conducted under National Park Service permits DEVA-2007-SCI-0038 and DEVA-2011-SCI-0048 (to Robert Mahon), and DEVA-2011-SCI-0018 (to Carol Dehler).

#### **REFERENCES CITED**

- Abolins, M., Oskin, R., Prave, T., Summa, C., and Corsetti, F.A., 2000, Neoproterozoic glacial record in the Death Valley region, California and Nevada, *in* Lageson, D.R., Peters, S.G., and Lahren, M.M., eds., Great Basin and Sierra Nevada: Geological Society of America Field Guide 2, p. 319–335, doi:10.1130/0-8137-0002-7.319.
- Barth, A.P., Wooden, J.L., and Coleman, D.S., 2001, SHRIMP-RG U-Pb zircon geochronology of Mesoproterozoic metamorphism and plutonism in the southwesternmost United States: The Journal of Geology, v. 109, p. 319–327, doi:10.1086/319975.
- Barth, A.P., Wooden, J.L., Coleman, D.S., and Vogel, M.B., 2009, Assembling and disassembling California: A zircon and monazite geochronologic framework for Proterozoic crustal evolution in southern California: The Journal of Geology, v. 117, p. 221–239, doi: 10.1086/597515.
- Calzia, J.P., Troxel, B.W., Wright, L.A., Burchfiel, G.A., and McMackin, M.R., 2000, Geologic map of the Kingston Range, southern Death Valley, California: U.S. Geological Survey Open File Report 2000-412, 2 sheets, scale 1:31.680.
- Cloud, P.E., Licari, G.R., Wright, L.A., and Troxel, B.W., 1969, Proterozoic eukaryotes from eastern Death Valley, California: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, v. 62, p. 623–630, doi:10.1073/pnas.62.3.623.
- Corsetti, F.A., and Hagadorn, J.W., 2000, The Precambrian-Cambrian transition: Death Valley, United States: Geology, v. 28, p. 299–302, doi:10.1130/0091-7613 (2000)28<299:PTDVUS>2.0.CO;2.
- Corsetti, F.A., and Kaufman, A.J., 2003, Stratigraphic investigations of carbon isotope anomalies and Neoproterozoic ice ages in Death Valley, California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 115, p. 916–932, doi: 10.1130/B25066.1.
- Corsetti, F.A., Link, P.K., and Lorentz, N.J., 2007, δ<sup>13</sup>C chemostratigraphy of the Neoproterozoic succession near Pocatello, Idaho: Implications for glacial chronology and regional correlations, *in* Link, P.K., and Lewis, R.S., eds., Proterozoic Geology of Western North America and Siberia: SEPM (Society for Sedimentary Geology) Special Publication 86, p. 193–208.
- Dehler, C.M., 2008, The Chuar Group–Uinta Mountain Group–Pahrump Group connection revisited: A "snapshot" of the infra-Sturtian earth system: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 40, no. 1, p. 36.
- Dehler, C.M., Prave, A.R., Crossey, L.J., Karlstrom, K.E., Atudorei, V., and Porter, S.M., 2001, Linking mid-Neoproterozoic successions in the western U.S.: The Chuar Group–Uinta Mountain Group–Pahrump Group connection (CHUMP): Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 33, no. 5, p. 20–21.
- Dehler, C.M., Ehlrich, M., Bloch, J.D., Crossey, L.J., Karlstrom, K.E., and Des Marais, D.J., 2005, High-resolution δ<sup>13</sup>C stratigraphy of the Chuar Group (ca. 770–742

Ma), Grand Canyon: Implications for mid-Neoproterozoic climate change: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 117, p. 32–45, doi:10.1130/B25471.1.

- Dehler, C.M., Porter, S.M., De Grey, L.D., Sprinkel, D.A., and Brehm, A., 2007, The Neoproterozoic Uinta Mountain Group revisited: A synthesis of recent work on the Red Pine Shale and related undivided clastic strata, northeastern Utah, *in* Link, P.K., and Lewis, R.S., eds., Proterozoic Geology of Western North America and Siberia: SEPM (Society for Sedimentary Geology) Special Publication 86, p. 151–166.
- Dehler, C.M., Fanning, C.M., Link, P.K., Esther, M., Kingsbury, E.M., and Rybczynski, D., 2010, Incipient Rodinia breakup, marine transgression, and peri-Gondwanan sediment source in western Laurentia at <766 to 742 Ma: New SHRIMP data from the Uinta Mountain Group and Big Cottonwood Formation, northern Utah: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 122, p. 1686–1699, doi:10.1130/B30094.1.
- Dehler, C.M., Crossey, L.J., Fletcher, K.E.K., Karlstrom, K.E., Williams, M.L., Jercinovic, M., Gehrels, G., Pecha, M., and Heizler, M., 2011, ChUMP connection (Chuar–Uinta Mountain–Pahrump): Geochronologic constraints for correlating ca. 750 Ma Neoproterozoic successions of southwest Laurentia: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 43, no. 4, p. 55.
- Dehler, C.M., Karlstrom, K.E., Gehrels, G.E., Timmons, M.J., and Crossey, L.J., 2012, Stratigraphic revision, provenance, and new age constraints of the Nankoweap Formation and Chuar Group, Grand Canyon Supergroup, Grand Canyon, Arizona: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 44, no. 6, p. 82.
- Dickinson, W.R., and Gehrels, G.E., 2009, Use of U-Pb ages of detrital zircons to infer maximum depositional ages of strata: A test against a Colorado Plateau Mesozoic database: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 288, p. 115–125, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2009.09.013.
- Elston, D.P., 1989, Grand Canyon Supergroup, northern Arizona: Stratigraphic summary and preliminary paleomagnetic correlations with parts of other North American Proterozoic successions, *in Jenny*, J.P., and Reynolds, S.J., eds., Geologic Evolution of Arizona: Arizona Geological Society Digest 17, p. 259–272.
- Fanning, C.M., and Link, P.K., 2004, U-Pb SHRIMP ages of Neoproterozoic (Sturtian) glaciogenic Pocatello Formation, southeastern Idaho: Geology, v. 32, p. 881– 884, doi:10.1130/G20609.1.
- Fedo, C.M., and Cooper, J.D., 2001, Sedimentology and sequence stratigraphy of Neoproterozoic and Cambrian units across a craton-margin hinge zone, southeastern California, and implications for the early evolution of the Cordilleran margin: Sedimentary Geology, v. 141– 142, p. 501–522, doi:10.1016/S0037-0738(01)00088-4.
- Gehrels, G.E., 2012, Detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology: Current methods and new opportunities, *in* Busby, C., and Azor, A., eds., Tectonics of Sedimentary Basins: Recent Advances: Hoboken, New Jersey, Blackwell Publishing Ltd., p. 47–62. Gehrels, G.E., Valencia, V.A., and Ruiz, J., 2008, Enhanced
- Gehrels, G.E., Valencia, V.A., and Ruiz, J., 2008, Enhanced precision, accuracy, efficiency, and spatial resolution of U-Pb ages by laser ablation–multicollector–inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometry: Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems, v. 9, Q03017, doi: 10.1029/2007GC001805.
- Gutstadt, A.M., 1968, Petrology and depositional environments of the Beck Spring Dolomite (Precambrian), Kingston Range, California: Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, v. 38, p. 1280–1289.
- Gutstadt, A.M., 1969, Possible algal microfossils from the late Pre-Cambrian of California: Nature, v. 223, p. 165–167, doi:10.1038/223165b0.
- Hammond, J.L.G., 1983, Late Precambrian diabase intrusions on the southern Death Valley region, California: Their petrology, geochemistry and tectonic significance [Ph.D. thesis]: Los Angeles, California, University of Southern California.
- Hammond, J.L.G., 1986, Geochemistry and petrogenesis of Proterozoic diabase in the southern Death Valley region of California: Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, v. 93, p. 312–321, doi:10.1007/BF00389390.
- Heaman, L.M., and Grotzinger, J.P., 1992, 1.08 Ga diabase sills in the Pahrump Group, California: Implica-

tions for development of the Cordilleran miogeocline: Geology, v. 20, p. 637–640, doi:10.1130/0091-7613 (1992)020<0637:GDSITP>2.3.CO;2.

- Hewett, D.F., 1940, New formation names to be used in the Kingston Range, Ivanpah quadrangle, California: Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences, v. 30, no. 6, p. 239–240.
- Hewett, D.F., 1956, Geology and mineral resources of the Ivanpah quadrangle, California and Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 275, 172 p., 2 plates, scale 1:125,000.
- Hoffman, P.F., and Li, Z.X., 2009, A paleogeographic context for Neoproterozoic glaciations: Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, v. 277, p. 158–172, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2009.03.013.
- Hoffman, P.F., Kaufman, A.J., and Halverson, G.P., 1998, Comings and goings of global glaciations in a Neoproterozoic tropical platform of Namibia: GSA Today, v. 8, no. 5, p. 1–9.
- Horodyski, R.J., 1993, Paleontology of Proterozoic shales and mudstones: Examples from the Belt Supergroup, Chuar Group and Pahrump Group, western USA: Precambrian Research, v. 61, p. 241–278, doi:10.1016 //0301-9268(93)90116-J.
- Horodyski, R.J., and Mankiewicz, C., 1990, Possible Late Proterozoic skeletal algae from the Pahrump Group, Kingston Range, southeastern California: American Journal of Science, v. 290A, p. 149–169.
- Iriondo, A., Premo, W.R., Martinez-Torres, L.M., Budahn, J.R., Atkinson, W.R., Jr., Siems, D.F., and Guaras-Gonzalez, B., 2004, Isotopic, geochemical and temporal characterization of Proterozoic basement rocks in the Quitovac region, northwestern Sonora, Mexico: Implications for the reconstruction of the southwestern margin of Laurentia: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 116, p. 154–170, doi:10.1130/B25138.1.
- Jennings, C.W., Burnett, J.L., and Troxell, B.W., 1962, Geologic map of California, Olaf P. Jenkins Edition, Trona sheet: Sacramento, California, California Division of Mines and Geology, scale 1:250,000.
- Karlstrom, K.E., Bowring, S.A., Dehler, C.M., Knoll, A.H., Porter, S., Sharp, Z., Des Marais, D., Weil, A., Geissman, J.W., Elrick, M., Timmons, M.J., Keefe, K., and Crossey, L., 2000, Chuar Group of the Grand Canyon: Record of breakup of Rodinia, associated change in the global carbon cycle, and ecosystem expansion by 740 Ma: Geology, v. 28, p. 619–622, doi:10.1130/0091 -7613(2000)28-619:CGOTGC>2.0.CO;2.
- Knoll, A.H., 2000, Learning to tell Neoproterozoic time: Precambrian Research, v. 100, p. 3–20, doi:10.1016 /S0301-9268(99)00067-4.
- Kupfer, D.H., 1960, Thrust faulting and chaos structure, Silurian Hills, San Bernardino County, California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 71, p. 181–214, doi: 10.1130/0016-7606(1960)71[181:TFACSS]2.0.CO;2.
- Labotka, T.C., Albee, A.L., Lanphere, M.A., and McDowell, S.D., 1980, Stratigraphy, structure, and metamorphism in the central Panamint Mountains (Telescope Peak quadrangle), Death Valley area, California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 91, no. 3, part II, p. 843–933, doi:10.1130/GSAB-P2-91-843.
- Lanphere, M.A., Wasserburg, G.J.F., Albee, A.L., and Tilton, G.R., 1964, Redistribution of strontium and rubidium isotopes during metamorphism, World Beater Complex, Panamint Range, California, *in* Craig, H., Miller, S.L., and Wasserburg, G.J.F., eds., Isotopic and Cosmic Chemistry: Amsterdam, North Holland Publishing Company, p. 269–320.
- Levy, M., and Christie-Blick, N., 1991, Tectonic subsidence of the early Paleozoic passive continental margin in eastern California and southern Nevada: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 103, p. 1590–1606, doi: 10.1130/0016-7606(1991)103<1590:TSOTEP>2.3 .CO;2.
- Li, Z.X., Bogdanova, S.V., Collins, A.S., Davidson, A., De Waele, B., Ernst, R.E., Fitzsimons, I.C.W., Fuck, R.A., Gladkochub, D.P., Jacobs, J., Karlstrom, K.E., Lu, S., Natpov, L.M., Pease, V., Pisarevsky, S.A., Thrane, K., and Vernikovsky, V., 2008, Assembly, configuration, and break-up history of Rodinia: A synthesis: Precambrian Research, v. 160, p. 179–210, doi:10.1016 /j.precamres.2007.04.021.

- Licari, G.R., 1978, Biogeology of the late pre-Phanerozoic Beck Spring Dolomite of eastern California: Journal of Paleontology, v. 52, p. 767–792.
- Link, P.K., Christie-Blick, N., Stewart, J.H., Miller, J.M.G., Devlin, W.J., and Levy, M., 1993, Late Proterozoic strata of the United States Cordillera, *in* Link, P.K., ed., Middle and Late Proterozoic Stratified Rocks of the Western U.S. Cordillera, Colorado Plateau and Basin and Range Province, *in* Reed J.C., Jr., Bickford, M.E., Houston, R.S., Link, P.K., Rankin, D.W., Sims, P.K., and Van Schmus, W.R., eds., Precambrian: Conterminous U.S.: Boulder, Colorado, Geological Society of America, Geology of North America, v. C-2, p. 529–533.
- Ludwig, K.R., 2008, Isoplot 3.6: Berkeley Geochronology Center Special Publication 4, 77 p.
- Lund, K., 2008, Geometry of the Neoproterozoic and Paleozoic rift margin of western Laurentia: Implications for mineral deposit settings: Geosphere, v. 4, p. 429–444, doi:10.1130/GES00121.1.
- MacDonald, F.A., Prave, A.R., Petterson, R., Smith, E.F., Pruss, S.B., Oates, K., Waechter, F., Trotzuk, D., and Fallick, A.E., 2013, The Laurentian record of Neoproterozoic glaciation, tectonism, and eukaryotic evolution in Death Valley, California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 125, p. 1203–1223, doi:10.1130 /B30789.1.
- Maud, R.L., 1979, Stratigraphy, petrography and depositional environments of the carbonate-terrigenous member of the Crystal Spring Formation, Death Valley, California [M.S. thesis]: State College, Pennsylvania, The Pennsylvania State University, 177 p.
- Maud, R.L., 1983, Stratigraphy, petrography and depositional environments of the carbonate-terrigenous member of the Crystal Spring Formation, Death Valley, California [Ph.D. thesis]: State College, Pennsylvania, The Pennsylvania State University, 221 p.
- Mbuyi, K., and Prave, A.R., 1993, Unconformities in the mid–Late Proterozoic Pahrump Group: Stratigraphic evidence from the upper member Crystal Spring Formation: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 25, no. 5, p. 98.
- Miller, J.M.G., 1985, Glacial and syntectonic sedimentation: The upper Proterozoic Kingston Peak Formation, southern Panamint Range, eastern California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 96, p. 1537–1553, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1985)96<1537:GASSTU>2.0 CO:2.
- Miller, J.M.G., 1987, Paleotectonic and stratigraphic implications of the Kingston Peak–Noonday contact in the Panamint Range, eastern California: The Journal of Geology, v. 95, p. 75–85, doi:10.1086/629107.
- Mrofka, D., and Kennedy, M., 2011, The Kingston Peak Formation in the eastern Death Valley region, *in* Arnaud, E., Halverson, G.P., and Shields-Zhou, G., eds., The Geological Record of Neoproterozoic Glaciations: Geological Society of London Memoir 36, p. 449–458.
- Nagy, R.M., Porter, S.M., Dehler, C.M., and Shen, Y., 2009, Biotic turnover driven by eutrophication before the Sturtian low-latitude glaciation: Nature Geoscience, v. 2, p. 415–418, doi:10.1038/ngeo525.
- North American Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature, 2005, North American stratigraphic code: The American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 89, p. 1547–1591, doi:10.1306/07050504129.
- Petterson, R., 2009, I. Glacigenic and related strata of the Neoproterozoic Kingston Peak Formation in the Panamint Range, Death Valley region, California. II. The basal Ediacaran Noonday Formation, eastern California, and implications for Laurentian equivalents. III. Rifting of southwest Laurentia during the Sturtian-Marinoan interglacial: The Argenta Orogeny [Ph.D. thesis]: Pasadena, California, California Institute of Technology, 225 p.
- Petterson, R., Prave, A.R., and Wernicke, B.P., 2011a, Glaciogenic and related strata of the Neoproterozoic Kingston Peak Formation in the Panamint Range, Death Valley region, California, *in* Arnaud, E., Halverson, G.P., and Shields-Zhou, G., eds., The Geological Record of Neoproterozoic Glaciations: Geological Society of London Memoir 36, p. 459–465.
- Petterson, R., Prave, A.R., Wernicke, B.P., and Fallick, A.E., 2011b, The Neoproterozoic Noonday Formation,

Death Valley region, California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 123, p. 1317–1336, doi:10.1130 /B30281.1.

- Porter, S.M., and Knoll, A.H., 2000, Testate amoebae in the Neoproterozoic Era: Evidence from vase-shaped microfossils in the Chuar Group, Grand Canyon: Paleobiology, v. 26, p. 360–385, doi:10.1666/0094-8373 (2000)026<0360:TAITNE>2.0.CO;2.
- Prave, A.R., 1999, Two diamictites, two cap carbonates, two δ<sup>13</sup>C excursions, two rifts: The Neoproterozoic Kingston Peak Formation, Death Valley, California: Geology, v. 27, p. 339–342, doi:10.1130/0091-7613 (1999)027<0339:TDTCCT>2.3.CO;2.
- Roberts, M.T., 1974a, The stratigraphy and depositional environments of the lower part of the Crystal Spring Formation, Death Valley, California [Ph.D. thesis]: State College, Pennsylvania, The Pennsylvania State University, 248 p.
- Roberts, M.T., 1974b, Stratigraphy and depositional environments of the Crystal Spring Formation, southern Death Valley region, California, *in* Troxel, B.W., ed., Guidebook: Death Valley Region, California and Nevada: Shoshone, California, Death Valley Publishing Company, p. 49–57.
- Roberts, M.T., 1976, Stratigraphy and depositional environments of the Crystal Spring Formation, southern Death Valley region, California, *in* Geologic Features—Death Valley, California: California Division of Mines and Geology Special Report 106, p. 35–44.
- Roberts, M.T., 1982, Depositional environments and tectonic setting of the Crystal Spring Formation, Death Valley, California, *in* Cooper, J.D., Troxel, B.W., and Wright, L.A., eds., Geology of Selected Areas in the San Bernardino Mountains, Western Mojave Desert, and Southern Great Basin, California: Volume and Guidebook for Field Trip No. 9, 78th Anniversary Meeting of Cordilleran Section, Geological Society of America: Shoshone, California, Death Valley Publishing Company, p. 165–170.
- Spencer, C.J., Hoiland, C.W., Harris, R.A., Link, P.K., and Balgord, E.A., 2012, Constraining the timing and provenance of the Neoproterozoic Little Willow and Big Cottonwood Formations, Utah: Expanding the sedimentary record for early rifting of Rodinia: Precambrian Research, v. 204–205, p. 57–65, doi:10.1016/j.precamres .2012.02.009.
- Stewart, J.H., Gehrels, G.E., Barth, A.P., Link, P.K., Christie-Blicks, N., and Wrucke, C.T., 2001, Detrital zircon provenance of Mesoproterozoic to Cambrian arenites in the western United States and northwestern Mexico: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 113, p. 1343–1356, doi:10.1130/0016-7606 (2001)113<1343:DZPOMT>2.0.CO;2.
- Timmons, J.M., Karlstrom, K.E., Dehler, C.M., Geissman, J.W., and Heizler, M.T., 2001, Proterozoic multistage (ca. 1.1 and 0.8 Ga) extension recorded in the Grand Canyon Supergroup and establishment of northwestand north-trending tectonic grains in the southwestern United States: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 113, p. 163–181, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(2001)113 <0163:PMCAGE>2.0.CO;2.
- Timmons, J.M., Karlstrom, K.E., Heizler, M.T., Bowring, S.A., Gehrels, G.E., and Crossey, L.J., 2005, Tectonic inferences from the ca. 1255–1000 Ma Unkar Group and Nankoweep Formation, Grand Canyon: Intracratonic deformation and basin formation during protracted Grenville orogenesis: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 117, p. 1573–1595, doi:10.1130 /B25538.1.
- Topping, D.J., 1993, Paleogeographic reconstruction of the Death Valley extended region: Evidence from Miocene large rock-avalanche deposits in the Amargosa Chaos Basin, California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 105, p. 1190-1213, doi:10.1130/0016-7606 (1993)105<1190:PROTDV>2.3.CO;2.
- Troxel, B.W., 1967, Sedimentary rocks of late Precambrian and Cambrian age in the southern Salt Spring Hills, southeastern Death Valley, California, *in* Short Contributions to California Geology: California Division of Mines and Geology Special Report 92, p. 33–42.
- Troxel, B.W., 1982, Description of the uppermost part of the Kingston Peak Formation, Amargosa Rim Can-

yon, Death Valley region, California, *in* Cooper, J.D., Troxel, B.W., and Wright, L.A., eds., Geology of Selected Areas in the San Bernardino Mountains, Western Mojave Desert, and Southern Great Basin, California: Volume and Guidebook for Field Trip No. 9, 78th Anniversary Meeting of Cordilleran Section, Geological Society of America: Shoshone, California, Death Valley Publishing Company, p. 61–70.

- U.S. Geological Survey, 1984, Horse Thief Springs quadrangle, California, 7.5 minute series (topographic) [map]: Provisional edition: Denver, Colorado, or Reston, Virginia, U.S. Department of the Interior, scale 1:24.000.
- U.S. Geological Survey, 1985, Old Ibex Pass quadrangle, California – San Bernardino Co., 7.5 minute series (topographic) [map]: Provisional edition: Denver, Colorado, or Reston, Virginia, U.S. Department of the Interior, scale 1:24,000.
- Verdel, C., Wernicke, B.P., and Bowring, S.A., 2011, The Shuram and subsequent Ediacaran carbon isotope excursions from southwest Laurentia, and implications for environmental stability during the metazoan radiation: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 123, p. 1539–1559, doi:10.1130/B30369.1.
- Williams, M.L., Crossey, L.J., Jercinovic, M.J., Bloch, J.D., Karlstrom, K.E., Dehler, C.M., Heizler, M.T., Bowring, S.A., and Goncalves, P., 2003, Dating sedimentary sequences: In situ U/Th-Pb microprobe dating of early diagenetic monazite and Ar-Ar dating of marcasite nodules: Case study from Neoproterozoic black shales in the Southwestern U.S: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 35, no. 6, p. 595.
- Workman, J.B., Menges, C.M., Page, W.R., Taylor, E.M., Ekren, E.B., Rowley, P.D., Dixon, D.L., Thompson, R.A., and Wright, L.A., 2002, Geologic map of the Death Valley ground-water model area, Nevada and California: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-2381-A, scale 1:250,000.
- Wright, L.A., 1949, Crystal Spring Formation, southern Death Valley area, California [abs.]: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 60, p. 1948.
- Wright, L.A., 1952, Geology of the Superior talc area, Death Valley, California: California Division of Mines Special Report 20, 22 p.
- Wright, L.A., 1968, Talc deposits of the southern Death Valley–Kingston Range region, California: California Division of Mines and Geology Special Report 95, 79 p.
- Wright, L.A., and Prave, A.R., 1993, Proterozoic–Early Cambrian tectonostratigraphic record of the Death Valley region, California-Nevada, *in* Link, P.K., ed., Middle and Late Proterozoic Stratified Rocks of the Western U.S. Cordillera, Colorado Plateau and Basin and Range Province, *in* Reed J.C., Jr., Bickford, M.E., Houston, R.S., Link, P.K., Rankin, D.W., Sims, P.K., and Van Schmus, W.R., eds., Precambrian: Conterminous U.S.: Boulder, Colorado, Geological Society of America, Geology of North America, v. C-2, p. 529–533.
- Wright, L.A., and Troxel, B.W., 1956, Noonday Dolomite, Johnnie Formation, Stirling Quartzite, and Wood Canyon Formation in the southern Death Valley region: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 67, p. 1787.
- Wright, L.A., and Troxel, B.W., 1966, Strata of Late Precambrian–Cambrian age, Death Valley region, California-Nevada: Bulletin of the American Association of Petroleum Geologists, v. 50, p. 846–857.
- Wright, L.A., Troxel, B.W., Williams, E.G., Roberts, M.T., and Diehl, P.E., 1974, Precambrian sedimentary environments of the Death Valley region, eastern California and Nevada, *in* Troxel, B.W. ed., Geological Society of America, Guidebook: Death Valley Region, California and Nevada [prepared for the 70th Annual Meeting of Cordilleran Section, Geological Society of America]: Shoshone, California, Death Valley Publishing Company, p. 27–35.

SCIENCE EDITOR: CHRISTIAN KOEBERL ASSOCIATE EDITOR: AARON CAVOSIE

Manuscript Received 7 July 2013 Revised Manuscript Received 3 December 2013 Manuscript Accepted 8 January 2014

Printed in the USA